

How well did this workshop meet your expectations?	Which 2 or 3 aspects of the conference were you most pleased with?	Which aspect(s) would you like to see improved? Please specify.	Which issues would you like to see addressed in the future?	How can we most effectively follow up event?	May we use your comments?
		More time for discussions, and keeping to schedule for presentations.			
				Listing or project that research will be conducted on	
Very well	1) Discussion of tree trimming, 2) Hardening	More time to the speakers		Each year	Yes
Good	Industry speakers				Yes, D. Anderson
	Utility representatives				Yes Keith Mutters
Did not know what to expect but was pleased with topics, information and presentations	Wind topics, restoration discussion	None			
Verygood initial meeting	Everything	More overall brainstorming discussion	Summary report of important aspects--> further research on those areas		Yes, Jerry Mintz
Excellent, the collaboration of research in now visible	The utility perspectives and what activities research organizations are doing to harden utility systems	Specialized workshops on research topics of interest		Disbursement of information gathered, requesting formulation for future research	Yes
Well	Q& A discussions, wind and water data, strenth question	storm surge, info from noaa, infor from manufacturers or utility EQ		Field demo, offer training class on implemeneting methods	Yes, Soman T. Varghese, OUC
Very well. Good start to improving Florida's approach to reliability and lowering costs.	R and D on wind effects and polss and structures and homes		It was all good	With another meeting with Rand reports in the fall	Yes, Alan McDaniel
Provided a lot of food for thought	Meteoroogical and modeling	More info that can have ad irect influence on operations		Continue forward	No
Normal problems- normal limited answers	wind research				