Lessons from the 11th PURC/World Bank International Training Program on Utility Regulation and Strategy – January 2002

Teachers learn from their students and students learn from each other. Participants in each training course identify some of the key lessons learned over the intensive two-week period. The 82 "students" in the January 2002 course shared their reactions to formal presentations and informal networking during the concluding session of the course at the University of Florida. The lessons are presented in the order they were suggested rather than according to the topical outline of the course: (1) Market Structure Reform and Regulation of Network Industries, (2) Financial Analysis for Utility Regulation, (3) Principles and Application of Incentive Regulation, (4) Non-Price Aspects of Utility Regulation, (5) Managing the Introduction of Competition in and for the Market, (6) Rate Structure, and (7) Managing the Regulatory Process.

We hope that the (slightly annotated) list promotes further discussion among all those involved in these important sectors: regulators, utility managers, government ministries, and consumers. PURC's staff appreciates the dedication and energy exhibited by participants in the 11th Training Program. Attendees shared their expertise and experience with one another. In addition, they asked good questions and followed up with examples from their countries. The participant observations are linked to qualities of the regulatory process that promote sustainable systems.

1. Consultation: Consider all stakeholders and their key concerns when making

decisions.

Regulators should avoid excessive attention to process and pay 2. Creativity:

attention to the content of rules. Policy makers need to identify a wide range of policy options that promote the achievement of objectives.

Economic concepts can be complicated and subject to different 3. Expertise:

interpretations, so organizations must continually be in a learning

mode.

4. Credibility: Investors seek transparency and consistency in the regulatory process

since cash flows will be driven by future decisions.

5. Coordination: Given the common issues facing network industries, sector regulators

should consult with counterparts in other commissions and with

environmental regulators.

Although there are no easy solutions to regulatory problems, the 6. Predictability:

application of core principles and methodologies promotes consistency

in decision-making.

7. Accessibility: Regulators, in their role as educators, must be visible to the general

public as they communicate their work through meetings and the

media.

8. International Regulatory problems are similar across a wide range of national Collaboration:

situations so participation in joint activities can promote the sharing of

experience and knowledge.

9. Performance Just as indicators can be developed to compare the performance of Benchmarking:

firms in a country (or across countries), international investors are

developing score-cards for regulators-evaluating their performance.

10. Continuous Regulators need to devise mechanisms for the sharing experience and Learning:

information-through regular in-house workshops, conferences, and

training programs.

11. Neutrality: Regulators balance the interests of government ministries, utilities, and

consumers; so they must develop positive working relationships with

each group.

12. Independence: While total autonomy is impractical, regulators need to be insulated

from daily politics-so decisions can promote the long run financial

sustainability of the sector.

13. Accountability: Just as reports to appropriate government agencies help policy-makers

understand sector developments, information provided to the press is a crucial activity if the public is to be aware of progress towards meeting

sector objectives.

14. Humility: Regulators should acknowledge that they are not all-knowing: they

have much to learn from consultants, universities, utilities, and

colleagues in other countries.